UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/758,767 | 01/16/2004 | Hector F. DeLuca | 1256-00935 | 6302 |
| 26753 7590 01/02/2008 ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | QAZI, SABIHA NAIM | |
| MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | . 1616 | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| · · ··· | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | 10/758,767 | DELUCA ET AL. | | | |
| à l' | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| • | | Sabiha Qazi | 1616 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | · | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2007</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,7-9,12-15,20-26,29-32 and 35-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) <u> </u> | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) De of No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are , 5, 6, 10, 11, 16-19. 27, 28, 33, 34, 39 and 40

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are , 5, 6, 10, 11, 16-19. 27, 28, 33, 34, 39 and 40

Application/Control Number:

10/758,767 Art Unit: 1616

Non Final Office Action

Claims 1-40 are pending. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 12-15, 20-26, 29-32, 35-38 are examined and read on elected species. Claims 2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 16-19. 27, 28, 33, 34, 39 and 40 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected invention. No claim is allowed.

Summary of this Office Action December 21, 2007

- 1. Double Patenting Rejection
- 2. Objection
- 3. Response to Remarks
- 4. Allowable subject Matter
- 5. Communication

Application/Control Number:

10/758,767 Art Unit: 1616

Double Patenting Rejection

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 12-15 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claim 18 of U. S. Patent No. 7,126,017 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The compound t-butyrate ester retinoyl t-butyrate is an elected species and has been disclosed in lines 45-50 in column 18 of US '017. This is a double patenting rejection. Specific compound has been disclosed in claim 9 and 14 of the present application. A method for

10/758,767 Art Unit: 1616

reducing the toxicity has been claimed using the same compound disclosed in claim 18 of US '017.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Objections

Claims 9, 26 and 32 are objected for depending on a rejected claim.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-8, 12-15, 20-25, 29-31 and 35-38 are objected for containing on elected invention. Non elected invention should be cancelled.

Response to Remarks

Election of species of compound of claim 9 is hereby acknowledged. Restriction is made Final.

Allowable subject matter

Closest prior art is TSUTSUMI, YOICHI reference (abstract of JP9185159, IDS reference). Instant claims differ from the reference in three ways. First the side chain is attached to a different position of retinoic acid ring; second double is at different position and methyl groups.

The reference and prior art of record does not teach nor suggest such retinoic acid derivative as has been presently claimed.

Application/Control Number:

10/758,767

Art Unit: 1616

In order to advance the prosecution applicant may consider calling the examiner to discuss the issues surrounding this application.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER